



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

# Fax Cover Sheet

**Date:** 17 Jan 2008

<b>To:</b> Luminita Todor	<b>From:</b> Andre Boyce
<b>Application/Control Number:</b> 10/671,595	<b>Art Unit:</b> 3623
<b>Fax No.:</b> 202-434-1501	<b>Phone No.:</b> (571)272-6726
<b>Voice No.:</b> 202-434-1500	<b>Return Fax No.:</b> (571) 273-8300
<b>Re:</b>	<b>CC:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Urgent</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>For Review</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>For Comment</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>For Reply</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Per Your Request</b>	

Comments:  
UNOFFICIAL INTERVIEW SUMMARY

**Number of pages** 3 **including this page**

## STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This facsimile transmission is an Official U.S. Government document which may contain information which is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# Interview Summary

Application No.

10/671,595

Applicant(s)

SAKURAI ET AL.

Examiner

Andre Boyce

Art Unit

3623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andre Boyce.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Luminita Todor.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 January 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-7.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jenkins et al (US 2002/0188499).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached: g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and the Examiner discussed the claim language with respect to Jenkins et al. Applicant and the Examiner also reviewed the specification for subject matter that would be able to define the inventive concept more specifically. In addition, the Examiner discussed the state of the supply chain planning art, and indicated that the art is well defined. As such, the Examiner indicated that Applicant's claim language would need to be very specific in detailing how it is distinguishable over the prior art. The Examiner also indicated that a supplemental amendment would be considered upon submission.